App. F. IX-4

1926.

File No. 119-26

Previous References (Local Board)

Serial Nos. 1

Later References

b fo 63-27(2-5)

VP. 57-28 (4-11)

re 7-29 - 4321

VP 42-m 86 448

General and Revenue Secretariat.

VP 68-31-314

S+A 106-32-(1-2)

VP. 18-35-29, 83

Serial Nos. 1

Part B for December 1926.

SUBJECT

The appointment of Panchayet Inspectors on a pay of Rs 60 +15 for each Taluk and Sub Taluk and a clerk on Rs 30 for each Taluk and on Rs 20 in the case of Sub Taluks from 1.2.27 to 30.6.27

20 ~~Collectors~~ Commissioners & Dist. Mts.

The President to ~~the District~~ Board

The Sanitary Commissioner.

The Comptroller to get through Pl.

Print

A copy to C.R.B.

~~Proceedings may be~~ Lawton ~~The above~~ Submitted for the ~~orders of Govt~~

G.O. No. 3686-704 LSG 19-26-1 dated 10-20 th December

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

L B File No. 119 of 1926

Serial No.

No 3686-704 dated 19/20.12.26

To

The Deputy Commrs of ds-
" Presidents of ds Boards
" Sanitary Commrs in Mysore
" Comptroller to Govt.

Print

Sanctions the appointments of Panchayat Inspectors on a pay of Rs ~~for~~ 60/- + 15/- for each Taluk & Kolar Taluk & a clerk on Rs 30/- for each Taluk & on Rs 20/-p.m. in the case of Kolar Taluks from 1.2.24 to 30.6.24. & issues instructions regarding their appointments hereon as Commrs of ds.

49). Print refer is invited to the last sentence at para 4 of the Commission note in the file. It is stated that the whole position has to be re-examined and a system devised if possible, for throwing upon local resources a part of the cost of such establishments.

The G.O now issued sanctions the establishment only till the end of June 1924.

Submitted for orders

Bgre 21/12/26.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

1920 WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-3-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | LR File No. 119 of 1926

Serial

This may perhaps be put when worked up in the Special Section.

LR Sec) 27/12

Yes
SW
21.12
27

Spl Section)

Sec 45

The question is one which has to be worked up after knowing the resources of the Panchayaths. The resources have to be found out only after the Panchayaths have worked for some time.

Moreover, the Section to 50 of the V. P. Regn has to be amended before the burden can be thrown on the village Panchayaths. It is too early to amend the section now.

The question of providing for the expenditure in the next year's budget has to be taken up now.

LS. 9 + LR Sec) 28 30/12

In the absence of any material it is difficult to know the resources of the Panchayats & to devise methods of requiring them to contribute for the establishment charges.

When the provision made to meet the Cost was deleted from the Regn & when the Govt have committed themselves not to [illegible] make the Panchayats to contribute

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 13202/19 of 1926

Serial No.

8296
5/7

it may be difficult to throw upon them this responsibility ~~without~~ in the absence of any clear provision in the Act - 1st Member

Fin Dept may also be consulted. Provision will have to be made, in any case, in next year's Budget, & in for some years to come.

For Supervision works, it would be possible to collect from Panchayats the percentage as done by P.W.D. & this will slightly reimburse Govt. Even this requires further study & is debatable. End

De
5/2/27

2647-26
& 8.2.27

3326
912

Fin Secy
with Compts.

I think it would be desirable to obtain the opinion of the Dcs on the question of the V.Ps being asked to bear their share of Supervision establishment charges. If only are required to finance them from the Annual Recurring allotn, the grant for works will be practically very little - in 1927-28

What provision for Rural Reconstruction, (to which head the pay of Panchayat Inspectors & their clerks & peons should be debited) should

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

LB File No. 119 of 1926.

Serial No.

made, may be considered at budget time. The Panchayat Inspectors' appointments are sanctioned with the end of June 27 - eng - 14.2.27

(Finance Member) 28/2

8685/16/2

This question may also be discussed at D.C's meeting - What provision need for rural reconstruction; whether Panchayat Inspectors should be paid from this provision & at what cost & any deduction possible as a charge for supervision of works.

16/2/27.

Please take action & indicate steps in the above

16/2

(Finance Member) 28/2/27

B

Recd Sir to put up a copy of the P.C's order

16/2

Will be sent when the house is received duly appd

25/2

25/2

25/2

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIES LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

WD 0126—GPB—40,000

[App. F. III

June 1926

At the Deputy Commissioners' meeting it was decided that no portion of the grant of Rs.1,30,000 made for rural reconstruction should be spent on establishments. It was also decided that a small grant of Rs.500 for each District may be placed with the Revenue Commissioner for such additional temporary establishments as may be required for initiating the scheme. There is no provision in the budget for employing any permanent establishme in the office of the Amildars either doing the cleric work or for inspection work in connection with the Village Panchayet work after the Panchayets are established. A separate draft G.O. has been alrea submitted requesting District Boards to consider what establishments would be necessary for satisfactorily discharging the duties devolving on the District Boards under the District Boards' Regulati after the Taluk Boards are abolished and to issue notices to the surplus staff that their services would not be required from the 1st of February 192 The District Boards may perhaps retain about 3 or 4 Sanitary Inspectors for their work and in all about 45 Sanitary Inspectors may have to be thro out.

It may be possible to provice for these Sanitary Inspectors if Govt. decide to employ Inspectors of Panchayets for helping Amildars in the Village Panchayet work. Under Section 50 of the Village Panchayet Regulation, Government may appoint for a group of Taluk or Taluks one or more Inspectors of Panchaye to discharge such functions as may be prescribed in this behalf in regard to the administration of Panchayets.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet 1

WD 01264—Gl.D—40,000—17-12-25

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 6 of 192

Seria No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
18. SEP. 1926
Reg. No. 2441

——The appointment of at least one Inspector for each Taluk ~~is~~ will become eventually necessary when the scheme of Panchayets is fully developed and it appears -- expedient to appoint about 32 Inspectors as detailed below, this year in order to secure the services of trained people and to minimise as far as possible the hardship that will be caused by discharging the surplus Sanitary Inspectors:—

Bangalore	4
Kolar ..	4
Tumkur ..	4
Mysore ..	5
Hassan ..	4
Shimoga ..	4
Kadur ..	3
Chitaldrug	4
Total	32

The pay of the Inspectors may be fixed at Rs.60 plus 15 horse allowance for the present. The cost on th account during the current year will be Rs.75X32X4 or Rs.9,600/- or roughly about Rs.10,000/-.

DS 16/9/26 3 Subs for consideration

(4 members) 18/9/26

3156/17/9

4 It appears some head this minimum Estt is necessary for working the Panchayat Scheme with a fair chance of success. The Inspectors can be put under the Chief Sanit. Officer by an executive order. Submitted for favour.

Lee 16/9/26.

Yes

17/9

18/9/26

I agree

17.10.46

17.10.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet 1

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

Serial No. 5 7

The Sanitary Inspectors are started on a pay of Rs.30 and they rise up to Rs.80, first, annual increments of Rs.2 till they reach Rs.60/- and thereafter by biennial increments of Rs.5. Please see para 3 of Government Order No.R.16474-95/L.S.184-16-23 dated 24th June 1919.

M

3492/27/9

The adoption of a grade of Rs.50-2½-75 plus Rs.15 Horse Allowance for Inspectors of Panchayets is necessary in order to secure the services of proper type of persons. The cost of each Inspector will be Rs.50+($\frac{2}{3}-\frac{5}{90}$) X $\frac{25}{50}$+15 or 80$\frac{5}{18}$ a month or Rs.963 $\frac{1}{3}$ a year. The appointment of Inspectors for each Taluk and Sub-Taluk is also necessary and on this basis, 76 Inspectors will have to be appointed. The annual cost on this account will be Rs.73,213$\frac{1}{3}$.

DS 27/9/26 (1st member) 28/27/9

3492/27/9

The Sanitary Inspectors that will be thrown out when the Dist Boards Regn comes into force in Feby will first have the chance of ... as Inspectors of Panchayet. The new appointments may be given to people with aptitude for this kind of work. The cost for 5 months will be a little over 30,000. This will have to be found from the sum of 1,30,000 for village sanitation, as there is no other source. Please submit to Govt.

DE 27/9/26

Dewan. 27/9

NOTE.—Contn.Sheet 1

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 8 of 192 . 4.

Serial No. 1

II. Member may be consulted, after ascertaining the views of the F. Secy.

Ym
29.9.26

F. Secy
with Compts.

30/9

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
30. SEP. 1926
Reg. No. 02409

Fe 1048
9.9 Fin Sec
Fin Min Encl - Blank

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
1 OCT. 1926
No.

9 There are two proposals for consideration. Firstly, the appointment of an Inspector of Village Panchayet for each taluk, the annual expenditure of which is estimated to be Rs.73,213/-; secondly, the absorption of the thrown out Sanitary Inspectors in the appointments of Inspectors of Panchayets, the remaining appointments being filled by competent recruits.

10 The new District Board and Village Panchayet Regulations will come into force from 1-2-27 and the cost on account of Panchayet Inspectors is calculated at about Rs.30,000/- during the current year, which is proposed to be met out of the one lakh thirty thousand provided for Rural Re-construction.

11 The appointment of the Inspector of Panchayets for each taluk as proposed above imposes a heavy commitment on the general revenu In the Village Panchayet bill, as originally drafted, there was a clause that the " Panchayets may be required to contribute towards the cost of such Inspectors ". In the final stages when

Diag. N.

when the bill was under detailed consideration in the Legislative Council, the deletion of this clause was proposed by Mr. Rudrappa and it was accepted by Government. In the Dewan President remarks accepting the amendment, it was made clear that " Government do not commit themselves to the policy that they shall appoint Inspectors all round, but they will do so according as circumstances demand. If some of our Deputy Commissioners think that the staff available under them in the various departments would be utilised without additional expenditure , they would undoubtedly make such recommendations In certain local areas which are less advanced , where the communications are difficult and there are other disadvantages, the Deputy Commissioner may recommend that the Amildars may be given some assistance

13 The normal provision that was being made in the budget for Village Improvements was Rs.30,000/- and this has been enhanced to a lakh and thirty thousand this year. With a view to provide for this as well as additional demands for grants for improvements in towns and for economic development a sum of Rs.3 lakhs had to be drawn from the reserves. To the extent that these grants, allotted during the year, are utilised in increasing permanent recurring expenditure, the strain on the revenue budget will be increased.

C/8

WD 01226—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 11 of 192

Serial No.

4131/13.10

b) This question may also be considered at Simon's meeting with Dawes's approval.

Lee 14/10

Chief Sec'y with Comp[ts]

B.1 14.10.

Read 3.15 p. p 14/.

(a) Will be included in an early approval

Dawson

p 14/10 MS 14/10

JM 14.10.26

NOTE- Continued

WD 01225—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 12 of 192 8

| Serial No. |

20) At the A.G.'s meeting it was decided to have an Asst. Inspector to assist the Architect as Inspector of Panchayats on Rs 60/- p.m. The question of allowance was not considered. They have perhaps to be given an allowance of Rs 15/- p.m. also. (Note para 2 of the note) Provision has to be made in the statement in that para for 7 Inspectors instead of 6 only.

21) It was also decided to give an Clerk on Rs 30/- p.m. to the Architect for Panchayat work. and that no clerk was necessary for Asst. Inspector.

22) Adopt may perhaps be forwarding proceedings for the above 2 heads, the clerk being sanctioned from 1st June 1927 instead of from 1st Sept 1929. & the Inspectors from 1st Sept 1929. The Govt. have met from the provision of Rs 30000/- proposed & the reserve out of the sum of Rs 130000 provided for Panchayats

L A Rees

23 Put up draft

18/10.

8.10.

NOTE- Contn. Sheet

24) Kind ref is invited to the last sentence in para 1 of the notes. No Special Section for making Rules under the new Rules have noted that about 45 Sanitary Inspectors may have to be thrown out.

25) Submitted with draft as directed

Sd/-
20/10

26) Please put up as a typed draft as mine.

Sd/-
21/10

27) Submitted with fair draft

Sd/-
21/10/26

22 Recd)

Sd/-
21/10

Sd/-
28/10

Sd/-
25/10

28) Shd issue the Circulars

Sd/-
25/10

Sd/-
25/10

H 1228/26

Sd/-
30/10

Sd/-
25/10

BY

772.

29) The recurring cost will be (60 + 30 * 15) × 12 × 76 95,760 per annum. no part of which is now being contributed by Govt.

Sd/-
8/11

7485)

NOTE. Contp. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 14 of 192

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
12. NOV. 1926
Reg. No 3420

30) request reference to para 14 and the Dewans order dt. 9. 10. 26.

31) This is a scheme mentioned - vide clause XII of the scheme

8.11.26.

(Enclosure)

4885
11.11

2) There is no getting over this expenditure; and orders have to issue without delay. The Dewan (Sir Albion) agreed to not stating the Purchases with the Cost & Estmt; & the Purchases can be started without it. The bill has accordingly to be met. Please Submit. & Dewan today & get his approval for disposal by Circulation

Chief Secy with Emptd

Secy

14/11/26

temp rains

33) May I sanction for the current year -

14.11.26

34) Lt. D. for Secy 15/11

NOTE- Contn. Sheet

Submitted to His Highness.

All the Deputy Commissioners are of opinion th
organization of the Village Panchayets a separate offic
sary for each taluk acting under the orders of the Amil
was considered necessary to provide each taluk with the
establishment:-

Inspector of Panchayets	Rs. 60 pe:
One clerk	Rs. 30 pe:

2) As the Inspector has to be touring constantly
sary to give him a horse allowance of Rs. 15 per mensem

3) The total cost of this extra establishment is
Rs. 95,760 per annum. During the current year the co:
about Rs. 30,000.

4) At present there is a separate Sanitary Inspec
taluk whose salary is borne by the District Board but th
work which he was expected to supervise and assist in th
will hereafter be carried on by the Village Panchayets.
speaking the District Board should be required to contri
of this cost but as they have no longer any connection wi
Panchayets we cannot insist upon this and in response to
the Legislative Council, Government agreed to bear
cost of any Special Establishment required for such purp
is unfortunate as it will lead indirectly to drain away
part of the fund that we may provide for Village Improve
whole position has to be re-examined and a system devise
for throwing upon local resources a part of the cost of
ments.

5) As some special establishment is required immed
proposal to appoint an Inspector of Panchayets on Rs. 60
and a clerk on Rs. 20-30 to the end of the current year
tioned. As far as possible these appointments will be

18

1320
2

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Establishment for the organization of the Village Panchayets.

No 426

Order.

~~KRW~~

35)

22/11/26

36)

Chiefsec'y Genl (Hon'ble)

116 WD 0122

1320

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No.

Serial No.

1) Hindi version is invited of the members as to the draft already put to alteration in three pa

1) the pay of the c be changed to 20-30;

2) According to the note all Talukas Circle have a clerk; and

3) the time for giving these appointments seems to be no material as contemp of the draft

Submitted for orders

Bom 29.11.26. 38) [illegible]

29/11.

34) Propose a draft strictly the above note

Bom 30.11.26 [illegible] 1/12

40) Draft submitted

Bom 30/12 [illegible]

Submitted with [illegible]

5/11/12

41) Necessary Corrections [illegible] in the draft & may now be approved.

[illegible]

42) Draft may be approved by members

43) [illegible]

4/12

4.12.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

17

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

OFFICE OF THE SECOND PERMANENT SECRETARY
No. 1851
Serial No.
FC 1703
10.12.26

44) H. H. has approved the proposal. Dft & fair put up.

HHK 10.12 10/12/26

45) Please communicate above. And then submit to 2nd member for perusal also. & keep.
(Vide last para of the Final note)

14.12.26

The file does not appear to have been seen by the 2nd Member at all after his minute dated 7th October 1926.

For Financial Secretary's remarks, please see paras 9 to 14. I noticed the above just before ~~issuing~~ Communicating. For orders whether this may be communicated.

Secy.)

46) Communicate & submit to 2nd memb.

14.XII.26

B 14/12

B 13/12 14

Chetwode & Co.

File is put up for Secy. Submitted to the 2nd Member of Council

HHK 14.12.26

48) For perusal

B 14/12

OFFICE OF THE SECOND PERMANENT SECRETARY OF COUNCIL
15 DEC. 1926
4188

1906 16-12-26

2nd Memb

15/12

15/12/26

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

28

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

ORDER NO. L. 3686-704/83119-26

Dated, Bangalore, the 10/20th December, 1926.

With a view to assist the Amildars in the exercise of their powers of inspection, supervision and control over the Village Panchayets within their Taluks and to enable them to discharge the functions connected with the administration of Panchayets satisfactorily, Government are pleased to sanction the appointment of an Inspector of Panchayets on Rs.60/- per mensem and a clerk on Rs.30/- in the case of Taluks and Rs.20/- in the case of Sub Taluks per mensem from 1st February 1927 to 30th June 1927. The Panchayet Inspectors will also draw a horse allowance of Rs.15/- per mensem.

The expenditure on account of the above will be met from the sum of Rs.30,000/- reserved out of the provision of Rs.1,30,000/- made in the current year's Budget under "30 grants for Public Improvements, for Rural Reconstruction as indicated in G.O.No.L.3305-13/L.B. 57-26-14 dated 18th November 1926.

In appointing lecturers to the above posts, the Deputy Commissioners of Districts are requested to utilise the services of such qualified Sanitary Inspectors and clerks as are likely to be thrown out of employment consequent on the abolition of Taluk Boards and the curtailment of establishments under the District Boards.

Proposals in regard to these appointment

P.T.O

appointments may be submitted for the orders of Government early.

Secy to Govt.
L.&.L.Deptts.

To

The Dy. Commrs. of Dts.
The Presidents of Dt. Bds.
The Sanitary Commr.
The Comptroller to Govt. THRO' Fl. Sectt.
PRINT

Copy to Central Recruitment Board.

K.M.R.1.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. L. 3686-704—L. B. 119-26-1, dated 10—20th December 1926.

Panchayat Inspectors.

Passes orders in the matter of the appointment of —— and clerks for work connected with the Village Panchayats

---

ORDER No. L. 3686-704—L. B. 119-26-1, DATED 10—20TH DECEMBER 1926.

With a view to assist the Amildars in the exercise of their powers of inspection, supervision and control over the Village Panchayats within their Taluks and to enable them to discharge the functions connected with the administration of Panchayats satisfactorily, Government are pleased to sanction the appointment of an Inspector of Panchayats on Rs. 60 per mensem and a clerk on Rs. 30 in the case of Taluks and Rs. 20 in the case of Sub-Taluks per mensem from 1st February 1927 to 30th June 1927. The Panchayat Inspectors will also draw a horse allowance of Rs. 15 per mensem.

The expenditure on account of the above will be met from the sum of Rs. 30,000 reserved out of the provision of Rs. 1,30,000 made in the current year's Budget under "30 grants for Public Improvements,"—for Rural Reconstruction—as indicated in Government Order No. L. 3305-13—L. B. 57-26-14, dated 18th November 1926.

In appointing persons to the above posts, the Deputy Commissioners of Districts are requested to utilise the services of such qualified Sanitary Inspectors and clerks as are likely to be thrown out of employment consequent on the abolition of Taluk Boards and the curtailment of establishments under the District Boards.

Proposals in regard to these appointments may be submitted for the orders of Government early.

B. NAGAPPA,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Presidents of District Boards.
The Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, through the Financial Secretariat.

Exd.—P. S. R. N.

WD 1297—GPB—950—7-1-27